REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-9 and 11-12 are pending in the application. The claims have been amended as supported by the specification and Figures. No new matter has been added. Favorable consideration is requested.

At the outset, applicant is pleased to note that the Examiner has accepted the drawings.

Applicant is also pleased to note that the Examiner has withdrawn the rejections citing the

Petronio reference. For at least the following reasons, applicant submits that the other rejections

should be withdrawn.

Claim 8 stands rejected as allegedly being obvious over Kaski in view of Williams (USP 737,186). In order to eliminate this rejection, applicant has amended claim 8 by essentially

incorporating the subject matter of claim1 into claim 8. This amendment clearly eliminates the obviousness rejection because Kaski simply discloses a hind leg gaiter that is used below the hock and Williams simply discloses a middle weight gaiter used on a fore leg and that gaiter goes both above and below the hock. As a result, the rejection should be withdrawn.

Claims 4-7, 9, 11 and 12 stand rejected as allegedly being obvious over Kaski in view of Cook (USP 6,554,752). Surprisingly, the Examiner has cited Cook despite the fact that it simply discloses <a href="https://www.human.com/

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicant submits that this application is in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner has any questions concerning this case, the undersigned may be contacted at 703-786-7421.

MERZ Appl. No. 10/574,830

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: /Duane M. Byers/

Duane M. Byers Reg. No. 33,363

DMB:Ifo 901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor Arlington, VA 22203-1808 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100